I. INTRODUCTION

When subjected to an external magnetic field, a ferromagnetic material shows a sequence of discrete and intermittent jumps of the magnetic domain walls (DW’s), known as the Barkhausen effect [1], a paradigmatic example of crackling noise in materials [2]. The statistical properties of the Barkhausen noise are usually studied by measuring the size distribution $P(s)$ of such jumps, or avalanches, which typically follows a power law $P(s) \sim s^{-\tau}$, with the exponent $\tau$ characterizing the universality class of the avalanche dynamics. In three-dimensional bulk ferromagnetic materials, the scaling behavior of the Barkhausen effect is understood theoretically in terms of the depinning transition of domain walls [3] with two distinct universality classes for amorphous and polycrystalline materials [4]. A similar clear-cut classification does not exist in lower dimensions, despite Barkhausen avalanches having been studied experimentally in decades in several ferromagnetic thin films with in-plane [5–10] or out-of-plane anisotropy [11,12]. This issue is particularly important because these low-dimensional magnetic structures have become increasingly relevant for various technological applications [13,14].

An important step towards understanding the different universality classes in thin films was achieved by the magneto-optical experiments of Ryu et al. [10], who observed a crossover between two different avalanche size exponents $\tau$ as temperature $T$ was varied close to but below the Curie temperature $T_c$ of a 50-nm MnAs film. This crossover was accompanied by changes in DW morphology, such that the DW structure evolves from rough for high $T$ to DW’s with a pronounced tendency to form zigzag or sawtoothlike patterns for lower $T$. It was argued that by varying $T$ close to $T_c$, one can tune the value of the squared saturation magnetization $M_s^2$, and thus the strength of the long-range dipolar interactions between different DW segments. The zigzag pattern is expected to arise as a result of a competition between the domain-wall energy and the dipolar interactions, with the former favoring a flat horizontal DW, while the latter would prefer a vertically spread DW to reduce the magnetic charge density [15–19].

In this paper, we provide a theoretical explanation of the experimentally observed universality classes and the crossover between them. Starting from micromagnetic theory, we derive an equation of motion for a line model of a head-to-head DW in a two-dimensional thin film separating in-plane domains. By numerical simulations and theoretical analysis, we show that the model exhibits a crossover between the two universality classes of the Barkhausen avalanche dynamics, resulting from a competition between DW surface tension and dipolar interactions. We present a detailed characterization of the DW morphology, avalanche dynamics, and the crossover scaling between the two universality classes. The paper is organized as follows: In the next section (Sec. II), we derive the line model of the head-to-head DW, and study it numerically and theoretically in Sec. III. Finally, Sec. IV finishes the paper with discussion and conclusions.

II. MODEL

Due to the essentially two-dimensional thin-film geometry considered here (the film thickness $\Delta_z$ is much smaller than the DW length), we model the DW as a flexible line $\Sigma$ with surface tension $\gamma_s$ due to DW energy. The line moves within the $xy$ plane, and has an average orientation along the $\alpha$ axis. It is taken to separate two magnetic domains with magnetization $\pm\hat{n}$, respectively. Thus, a head-to-head DW is characterized by a magnetic charge density $\sigma(r) = 2M_s\cos\theta(r)$ along the DW, with $\theta(r)$ the angle between the local DW normal $\hat{n}$ and the $\hat{\alpha}$ direction. These magnetic charges then lead to a magnetostatic field $H_{m}(r) = \int_{\Sigma} \sigma(r') |r-r'|/|r-r'|^3 ds'$, the $y$ component of which produces a normal pressure acting on the DW segments, along with an applied field $H_a = H_{a}\hat{\alpha}$. In addition, the DW segments interact with quenched disorder, described by a random pressure field $\eta(r)$ due to short-range interactions with random pinning centers. Thus, the total normal pressure difference $\Delta p$ acting across the DW at point $r$ reads

$$\Delta p(r) = \gamma_s/R(r) + 2M_s \mu_0 H_a + \eta(r) \cdot \hat{n} + 4\mu_0 M_s^2 \int_{\Sigma(r)} (y-y') \cos \theta'(x'-x')^2 + (y-y')^2)^{1/2} ds', \quad (1)$$

where $R(r)$ is the local radius of curvature. To simulate such a system, we discretize the DW along the $x$ direction, by using the film thickness $\Delta_z$ as the lattice constant, and describe the
The factor 1/\cos \theta_i multiplying the right-hand side of Eq. (2) transforms normal motion into motion along the y direction. The quenched random force has correlations \( \eta(i,h_i)\eta(j,h_j) = \sigma^2 \delta(i-j) \delta(h_i-h_j) \). We further write Eq. (2) in nondimensional units, by measuring lengths in units of \( \Delta_1 \), times in units of \( \Gamma \Delta_1 / (\mu_0 M_s^2) \). The resulting dimensionless equation of motion reads

\[
\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta_i} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 h_i}{\partial x^2} + F_{\text{ext}} + \eta(i,h_i) \right] + 4 \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{h_i - h_j}{\left[ (i-j)^2 + (h_i-h_j)^2 \right]^{1/2}},
\]

where the dimensionless driving force is \( F_{\text{ext}} = 2H_0 / M_s \) and \( \lambda = l_D / \Delta_1 \) is the ratio between the “domain formation” length \( l_D \) and the film thickness. In dimensionless units, the quenched random force has correlations \( \langle \eta(i,h_i)\eta(j,h_j) \rangle = \sigma_{\text{nd}}^2 \delta(i-j) \delta(h_i-h_j) \), with \( \sigma_{\text{nd}} = \sigma / (\mu_0 M_s^2 \Delta_1) \). Periodic boundary conditions are implemented by using the nearest image approximation to compute the nondipolar forces. Notice that the dipolar interaction term in Eq. (3) acts like a negative surface tension, i.e., it is nonconvex. Thus, some typical properties of elastic interfaces in random media, such as the no-passing rule [21], are not expected to hold.

III. RESULTS

To mimic the experiments of Ruy et al. [10], we simulate the system by integrating Eq. (3) numerically for a lateral system size \( L = 512 \), fixing the external force \( F_{\text{ext}} \) to a constant value below the critical depinning force \( F_c \) (above which the DW would keep moving continuously with a nonzero time-averaged velocity), and monitor the dynamics of the DW. The results are averaged over several realizations of the random impurity configuration. Whenever the average DW velocity \( V(t) = 1/L \sum_i \partial h_i / \partial t \) falls below a low threshold value \( V_{\text{th}} \), an additional local force acting on the DW segment is first increased linearly from zero until \( V > V_{\text{th}} \), and then decreased continuously back to zero. This can then trigger an avalanche, which lasts until the average velocity of the front again falls below \( V_{\text{th}} \), and the process is repeated. The area (measured in units of \( \Delta_1^2 \)) over which the DW moves between two such triggering events (which mimic the effect of thermal activation) is taken to be the avalanche size \( s \). Figure 1 shows typical examples of the spatial structure of the avalanches for different \( \lambda \) values. Note that tuning \( \lambda \) in the model corresponds to varying temperature in an experiment, which close to the Curie temperature affects the value of \( M_s \), and consequently the strength of the dipolar interactions [10]. For small \( \lambda \), the DW’s exhibit a clear zigzag morphology (with avalanches tilted accordingly), and roughen due to disorder as \( \lambda \) is increased.

We further characterize the zigzag morphology by considering the distributions of the local slopes \( \partial h / \partial x \) of the DW; see Fig. 2. For finite \( \lambda \), the distributions are bimodal, reflecting
the fact that the dipolar interactions render the flat DW unstable. For the sake of comparison, we show also the slope distribution for the linear interface model (LIM)/quenched Edwards-Wilkinson (qEW) equation (i.e., Eq. (3) without the nonlocal term, corresponding to the limit $\lambda \to \infty$), displaying a single peak at $\partial h/\partial x = 0$. The inset of Fig. 2 shows the zigzag angle $2\phi$, defined as $2\phi = 2 \tan^{-1}(1/(|\partial h/\partial x|))$. For small $\lambda \sim 1/M^2$, $2\phi$ is linear in $\lambda$, similar to experimental results [22], while for very large $\lambda$, the DW becomes rough (i.e., the DW morphology is given by the usual roughness exponent $\zeta$). An approximate analytical estimate of the $\lambda$ dependence of $2\phi$ can be obtained by requiring balance between forces due to line tension and dipolar interactions. The former can be estimated as $\lambda^2 \partial^2 h/\partial x^2 = \lambda 2m/l$, where $m = |\partial h/\partial x|$ is the average magnitude of the local zigzag slope, and $l$ is the length of the “transition region” at the tip of the zigzag where a constant curvature $2m/l$ is assumed. These have to be balanced by forces due to dipolar interactions, which we write in terms of the slope $m$ as

$$4 \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{m|i - j|}{|i - j|^3(1 + m^2)^{3/2}} = 4 \frac{m}{(1 + m^2)^{3/2}} 2\zeta(2),$$

where $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$. Thus, from the force balance condition, one obtains for the slope $m = \sqrt{(2\pi^2/3\lambda)^2/3 - 1}$, corresponding to the zigzag angle

$$2\phi = 2 \tan^{-1}(m^{-1}) = 2 \tan^{-1}[(2\pi^2/3\lambda)^2/3 - 1]^{-1/2}.$$

A good fit to the data with Eq. (5) can be obtained by using $l$ as a fitting parameter, resulting in $l \approx 3.9$; see the inset of Fig. 2.

We also quantify the morphology of the DWs by considering the roughness exponent $\zeta$ of the fronts. To this end, we compute the power spectrum $S(k)$ of the line profiles $h(x)$, expected to scale as $S(k) \propto k^{-2(\zeta + 1)}$. Figure 3 shows $S(k)$ of the $\lambda = 1$ case, resulting in $\zeta \approx 1.5$: Thus, when a dipolar interaction term, favoring vertical spread of the DW, is added to the LIM/qEW model with $\zeta \approx 1.25$ [23], the fronts become more rough. In Fig. 3, we also consider a slope-subtracted version of the $\lambda = 1$ fronts (see the inset of Fig. 3), where the average local zigzag slope (positive or negative depending on the DW segment) has been subtracted from $h(x)$. The power spectrum of the resulting fronts is characterized by $\zeta \approx 1.25$, i.e., it is indistinguishable from the LIM/qEW result. Thus, it seems that dipolar interactions induce a local tilt (the zigzag slope) to the otherwise LIM/qEW-like fronts.

For small $\lambda$, the statistical properties of the Barkhausen avalanches are expected to reflect the dominant nature of the dipolar interactions. Figure 4 shows the avalanche size distributions $P(s)$ for $\lambda = 1$ and various $F_{\text{ext}} < F_c$. By fitting the data using the least-squares method [24], the distributions are found to obey

$$P(s) = s^{-\tau_{\text{DIP}}} F_{\text{DIP}} \left[ \frac{s}{(F_c - F_{\text{ext}})^{-1/\tau_{\text{DIP}}}} \right],$$

where $F_{\text{DIP}}(s)$ is a scaling function, $\tau_{\text{DIP}} \approx 1.33$ and $1/\tau_{\text{DIP}} \approx 3.5$. The value of $\tau_{\text{DIP}}$ characterizes the “zigzag” universality
class dominated by dipolar interactions, and is close to that found for certain other systems with long-range anisotropic interaction kernels, such as models of amorphous plasticity [25]. For larger \( \lambda \), while large enough avalanches are still dominated by the dipolar interactions, small avalanches start to be governed by the surface tension, and are thus expected to obey the LIM/qEW scaling. Therefore the scaling form in Eq. (6) has to be replaced by a crossover scaling form including two different power laws with the corresponding \( \tau \) exponents (\( \tau_{\text{LIM}} \) and \( \tau_{\text{DIP}} \)),

\[
P(s, s/s_0, s_{\chi}) = s^{-\tau_{\text{LIM}}} \mathcal{G}(s/s_0, s/s_{\chi}),
\]

where the two-variable scaling function is given by

\[
\mathcal{G}(x, y) = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + y^{(\tau_{\text{DIP}}-\tau_{\text{LIM}}))/\tau_{\text{LIM}}}},
\]

with \( s_{\chi} \) a crossover avalanche size separating the two regimes, \( \kappa \) controls the sharpness of the crossover and \( s_0 \) is the cut-off avalanche size. The short length scale exponent is expected to be that of the LIM/qEW, \( \tau_{\text{LIM}} \approx 1.11 \) [26] and \( 1/\sigma_{\text{LIM}} = 3.0 \) [3].

To estimate the crossover scale \( L_{\chi} \) (and the corresponding crossover avalanche size \( s_{\chi} \)) above which the dipolar forces will dominate the line tension, we consider the continuum version of Eq. (3) for small deformation of the DW without disorder and external force,

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} + 4 \int \frac{h(x) - h(x')}{|x - x'|^{3}} dx',
\]

and examine the stability of a flat DW. By writing the two interaction terms in Eq. (9) in terms of their Fourier transforms, \( \lambda \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} = \int dq h_q e^{2\pi q x} (1 - 4\pi^2 \lambda q^2) \) and \( 4 \int dx \frac{h(x) - h(x')}{|x - x'|} = 4 \int dq e^{2\pi q x} h_q \int dx' \frac{1 - e^{2\pi q (x - x')}}{x'^3} \), one arrives at a stability condition for the mode \( q \), \(-4\pi^2 \lambda q^2 + I(q) < 0 \), where \( I(q) \equiv 4 \int dr \frac{1 - e^{2\pi q r}}{r^3} \). We expand \( I(q) \) for small \( q \), such that \( I(q) \approx 8\pi^2 \int \frac{dr (qr)^2}{|r|^3} \approx -8\pi^2 \lambda^2 q^4 \). Thus, the stability condition becomes \( 2\ln(q) + \lambda > 0 \), which leads to a crossover length

\[
L_{\chi} = e^{\lambda/2}.
\]

The crossover avalanche size is expected to scale as \( s_{\chi} \sim L_{\chi}^{1+\xi_{\chi}} \), where \( \xi_{\chi} \) is the roughness exponent of the avalanches at the crossover scale. Thus, also the crossover avalanche size is an exponential in \( \lambda \),

\[
s_{\chi} = e^{(1+\xi_{\chi})\lambda/2}.
\]

Notice that this form is different from the one employed in Ref. [10].

To test this argument, we simulate the model for various \( \lambda \geq 1 \), and estimate \( s_{\chi}(\lambda) \) by fitting Eq. (7) to the data. We found that Eqs. (7) and (8) with \( \kappa = 10 \) (corresponding to a sharp crossover), \( \tau_{\text{LIM}} = 1.11 \) and \( \tau_{\text{DIP}} = 1.33 \) produce a very good fit; see Fig. 5. Figure 6(a) shows the resulting \( s_{\chi}(\lambda) \) data, which can be well fitted by an exponential, thus confirming the functional form in Eq. (11). Estimating the value of the crossover roughness exponent \( \xi_{\chi} \) in Eq. (11) from the exponential fit leads to \( (1 + \xi_{\chi})/2 = 1.577 \) (Fig. 6), or \( \xi_{\chi} = 2.15 \), somewhat larger than the \( \xi \) values measured in Fig. 3. We think this small difference is due to the approximations made in deriving Eq. (11). Figure 5 shows the avalanche size distributions for different \( \lambda \), with \( s \) rescaled with the corresponding \( s_{\chi}(\lambda) \) and \( P(s) \) by the factors \( C(\lambda) \), chosen to make the different distributions overlap. This procedure reveals a clear crossover scaling, with the exponents \( \tau_{\text{LIM}} \approx 1.11 \) and \( \tau_{\text{DIP}} \approx 1.33 \) below and above \( s/s_{\chi} = 1 \), respectively. Notice also that the crossover is rather sharp, taking place within one order of magnitude in \( s/s_{\chi} \). This is in contrast to the results of Ref. [10], where a large crossover region with a slowly changing effective exponent was found, by using an expression for the crossover avalanche size which is different from the one found here. The crossover can also be seen by fitting a single power law with an exponential cutoff,

\[
P(s) = s^{-\tau_{\text{eff}}} \exp\left(-\frac{s}{s_0(\lambda)}\right),
\]

in Fig. 3. We think this small difference is due to the approximations made in deriving Eq. (11).
to the data. The resulting effective exponent $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ as a function of $\lambda$ is shown in Fig. 6(b), showing again a crossover between the values of $\tau_{\text{LM}} = 1.11$ and $\tau_{\text{DIP}} = 1.33$.

IV. DISCUSSION

We have presented a theoretical analysis and a numerical model of DW morphology and avalanche dynamics in thin films with in-plane uniaxial anisotropy, giving rise to charged head-to-head (or tail-to-tail) DW’s. As a result of the competition between DW surface tension and dipolar interactions, the DW’s develop a zigzag structure. The avalanche dynamics displays a sharp crossover between two universality classes, characterized by the exponents $\tau_{\text{LM}} \simeq 1.11$ and $\tau_{\text{DIP}} \simeq 1.33$, for scales dominated by the line tension and dipolar interactions, respectively. These two scaling regimes are separated by a crossover avalanche size $s_{c}$ which exhibits an exponential dependence on $s_{c} \sim 1/M_{\text{s}}^{2}$. It is worth noticing that the dipolar interactions scale as $q^{2}\log(q)$ in Fourier space. Hence, in the $q \to 0$ limit, the kernel is similar to a negative surface tension, and it is therefore not possible to infer the dipolar universality class based on simple power counting (as claimed, e.g., in [10]). It would instead be necessary to perform a functional renormalization-group calculation along the lines of Refs. [27–32], taking into account explicitly the nonconvex nature of the interaction kernel, leading to a violation of the no-passing rule usually obeyed by depinning interfaces [21].
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